

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/874,085	06/04/2001	Dustin A. Cochran	8032948	6206	
7.	7590 10/03/2003			EXAMINER	
MOSER, PATTERSON & SHERIDAN, LLP 595 SHREWSBURY AVENUE SUITE 100 SHREWSBURY, NJ 07702			PHASGE, ARUN S		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1753		

DATE MAILED: 10/03/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		09/874,085	COCHRAN, DUSTIN A.
C	offic Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
•		Arun S. Phasge	1753
The Period for Re	MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address
,	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONT	TH(S) FROM
THE MAIL - Extensions of after SIX (6) - If the period - If NO period - Failure to re - Any reply rec	ING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peoply within the set or extended period for reply will, by societed by the Office later than three months after the mattern adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fit tatute, cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1)□ Res	sponsive to communication(s) filed on	•	
2a) This	s action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.	
clos	ce this application is in condition for al sed in accordance with the practice un		
Disposition o			
•	m(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the applica		
	of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.	
	n(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Clair	m(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.		
	n(s) is/are objected to.		
8)⊡ Clair Application P	m(s) are subject to restriction ar apers	nd/or election requirement.	·
9)∏ The s	pecification is objected to by the Exan	niner.	•
10) ☐ The d	rawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ a	ccepted or b) objected to by the E	xaminer.
App	olicant may not request that any objection t	to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
	roposed drawing correction filed on _		proved by the Examiner.
	oproved, corrected drawings are required i	• •	
12)∐ The d	ath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner.	
Priority under	² 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
_13)	nowledgment is made of a claim for for	reign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).
a)∐ All	b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		•
1.	Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received.	
2.	Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received in Applic	cation No
3.□ * See th	Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internationale attached detailed Office action for a	l Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•
	wledgment is made of a claim for dom	•	
	The translation of the foreign language wledgment is made of a claim for don	• •	
Attachment(s)			,
2) 🔲 Notice of Dr	eferences Cited (PTO-892) aftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No) 5) Notice of Inform	nary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Patent and Trademark OL-326 (Rev. 04		e Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 8

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-10 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-16 of U.S. Patent No. 6,524,451 B1. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of the patent when

Art Unit: 1753

read in light of the specification clearly encompass and render obvious the instant invention.

The prior patented device does not disclose the source of power to provide a voltage to each of the separate surfaces to individually control the rate of groove formation in each of the journal and conical bearings. The patent does disclose that use of a source of electrical potential and a control for controlling the duration and level of current applied to the work piece and cathode (claims 7-8 and 14-15).

The invention as a whole would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the disclosure of the patented claim, because the claims of the patent would lead the artisan to provide the presently recited control for both the journal and conical bearings and electrodes (claim 3 and 13).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arun S. Phasge whose telephone number is (703) 308-2528. The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY-THURSDAY, 7:30-6:00.

Art Unit: 1753

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nam X Nguyen can be reached on (703) 308-3322. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Arun S. Phasge

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1753